

ONTARIO GOVERNMENT USE OF BIG DATA ANALYTICS

David Goodis
Assistant Commissioner, Ontario IPC

David Weinkauff, Ph.D.
Senior Policy and Technology Advisor, Ontario IPC

John Roberts
Chief Privacy Officer and Archivist of Ontario

OUTLINE

- Big data and Ontario's privacy laws (David Goodis)
- Ontario IPC's "Big Data Guidelines" (David Weinkauff)
- Comments from a government perspective (John Roberts)
- Questions



BIG DATA AND ONTARIO'S PRIVACY LAWS

- *FIPPA/MFIPPA* not designed with big data in mind; not possible when proclaimed in 1988/1991:
 - world wide web not yet invented (1989)
 - information technology was less prevalent
 - types of data and analytics were less complex
 - uses of personal information were discrete and determinate
- Current legislative framework treats government institutions as **silos**:
 - collection of personal information must be “necessary”
 - secondary uses are restricted
 - information sharing is limited



BIG DATA AND ONTARIO'S PRIVACY LAWS (2)

- May still be possible to conduct big data under *FIPPA* if:
 - collection of personal information (PI) is **expressly authorized by statute** [s. 38(2)]
 - disclosures are for purpose of **complying with a statute** [s. 42(1)(e)]
- Such cases should be the exception, not the rule
- To support big data in general, we need a **new legislative framework**



ONTARIO IPC'S BIG DATA GUIDELINES

- Designed to inform institutions of key issues, best practices when conducting big data projects involving PI
- Divides big data into four stages; each stage raises a number of concerns (14 total)
- Institutions should avoid uses of PI that may be **unexpected, invasive, inaccurate, discriminatory or disrespectful** of individuals
- Today we will discuss a selection of points raised in paper



WHAT IS BIG DATA?

- The term “big data” generally refers to the combined use of a number of advancements in computing and technology, including:
 - *new sources and methods of data collection*
 - *virtually unlimited capacity to store data*
 - *improved record linkage techniques*
 - *algorithms that learn from and make predictions on data*



COLLECTION

- Issue: speculation of need rather than necessity
 - inherent tension between big data and principle of data minimization
 - what is now known as “data mining” was originally called “data fishing”
 - analyze data first and ask “why” later
- Best practice (BP): proposed collection of PI should be reviewed and approved by a research ethics board (REB) or similar body



COLLECTION (2)

- Issue: **privacy of publicly available information**
 - potential uses and insights derivable from a piece of information are no longer discrete and recognizable in advance
 - innocuous PI can be collected, integrated and analyzed with other PI to reveal hidden patterns and correlations that only an advanced algorithm can uncover
- BP: any publicly available PI should be treated the same as non-public PI

INTEGRATION

- Issue: inadequate separation of policy analysis and administrative functions
 - PI collected for the purpose of administering a program can be used for secondary purpose of fulfilling the policy analysis function of the program
 - however, in general the reverse is not the case
- BP: integrated data sets should be **de-identified** before analysis to ensure adequate separation
- De-identification also helps to address the inherent tension between big data and principle of data minimization



ANALYSIS

- Issue: **biased data sets**
 - even if “all” data is collected, the practices that generate the data may contain implicit biases that over- or underrepresent certain people
 - also, the conditions under which a data set is generated may cause some members of the target population to be excluded
- BP: assess whether the information analyzed is **representative** of the target population by considering whether:
 - the practices that generated the data set allowed for discretionary decisions
 - the design of a program or service contained overly restrictive requirements



ANALYSIS (2)

- Issue: **discriminatory proxies**
 - Charter guarantees every individual a right to “equal protection and benefit of the law without discrimination”
 - variables in a data set that are not explicitly protected may correlate with protected attribute
- BP: ensure analysis of integrated data set does not result in any variables being used as proxies for **prohibited discrimination**
- Outcome of analysis may need to be reviewed by REB or similar body to determine its potential for such discrimination



PROFILING

- Issue: **lack of transparency**
 - profiling not only processes PI but generates it as well
 - evaluation or prediction of PI happens in the background
 - individuals may not understand the consequences
- BP: individuals should be **informed of the nature of the predictive model** or profile being used, including:
 - the use of profiling and the fields of PI generated by it
 - a plain-language description of the logic employed by the model
 - the implications or potential consequences of the profiling on individuals



PROFILING (2)

- Issue: **individuals as objects**
 - profiling takes reductive approach to understanding where individuals only amount to the sum of their parts
 - even if accurate, individuals may feel a loss of dignity from being subjected to profiling
 - extension of profiling to too many aspects of society or individuals' lives would have serious consequences, such as loss of autonomy, serendipity and exposure to a variety of perspectives
- BP: the public and civil society organizations should be consulted regarding the **appropriateness and impact of proposed profiling**



COMMENTS FROM A GOVERNMENT PERSPECTIVE

- Welcome advice!
- Government can't afford to ignore the potential value of big data and analytics
- But neither can it afford to ignore privacy
- How to move forward in a careful manner?



THE VALUE PROPOSITION

- Better policy decisions - “evidence based decision making”
- Efficiency - data re-use
- Better services
- Enhanced program integrity

THE IMPORTANCE OF PRIVACY

- Privacy is not just a compliance issue
- Privacy protection is important to Canadians
- Maintain trust and confidence of the public



SOME CHALLENGES

- Dated legislative framework
- Fragmented, sector specific approaches
- Multiple audiences - executives and practitioners
- Public views shaped not just by government behaviour
- PIA process focused on project approval



POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS

- Governance - who makes decisions
- Transparency
- Public engagement
- Approved “data hub/institute” model
- Data literacy of senior public servants
- Enterprise information governance
- Oversight role for IPC



RECENT APPROACHES

- E.g. Anti-Racism Act
 - Data Standards
 - De-identification, retention, accuracy provisions
 - Research Ethics Board oversight of research use
 - IPC review and order-making role

