

Overview of RFID Privacy-Related Issues

Ann Cavoukian, Ph.D.
Information and Privacy Commissioner
Ontario

RFID Meeting with EPCglobal Inc. July 20, 2006





IPC: Our Role

The role of the Information and Privacy Commissioner/Ontario (IPC) is set out in three statutes:

- Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act,
- Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act,
- Personal Health Information Protection Act (the Acts).

Under its statutory mandate, the IPC is responsible for:

- investigating privacy complaints;
- resolving appeals from refusals to provide access to information;
- ensuring that organizations comply with the access and privacy provisions of the Acts;
- educating the public about Ontario's access and privacy laws; and
- conducting research on access and privacy issues, and providing advice and comment on proposed government legislation and programs.





A Few of Our Accomplishments

- Co-invented the concept and methodology recognized around the world today as *privacy-enhancing technologies* (PETs);
- Played a pivotal role in shaping public policy on a wide range of technology and privacy issues, including RFID, biometrics, smartcards, PKI, Digital rights management technologies (DRM), P3P, identity management systems, video surveillance, customer relationship marketing, and electronic road toll system;
- Establishing and promoting internationally-accepted principles for developing privacy-enabled universal identity and authentication systems, through Microsoft and the broader open-source community;
- Setting international standards for privacy-protective development and deployment of biometric technologies through her membership on the Board of the International Biometrics Advisory Council (IBAC);
- Continuing to press for stronger security methods and techniques on the part of organizations to combat the current epidemic of spyware, phishing, pharming, and identity theft.





How We Connected with EPCglobal Canada

Both the IPC and EPCglobal Canada believed there was a need to address the public's concern about privacy, in a proactive manner.





IPC Philosophy Encapsulated in the 3C's:

Consultation

- Opening the lines of communication;

Collaboration

Working together to find solutions;

Co-operation

No confrontation in resolving privacy issues.





IPC Partnerships

- Canadian Marketing Association "Incorporating Privacy into Marketing and CRM;"
- **Deloitte and Touche** "The Security-Privacy Paradox: Strategies to address Privacy Issues and Misconceptions;"
- PriceWaterhouseCoopers/Guardent Jointly developed the "Privacy Diagnostic Tool."





Privacy Fundamentalists

- Feel that they have lost much of their privacy and are strongly resistant to any further erosion;
- For them, the right to privacy is absolute;
- No concept of balancing privacy with other interests no matter how important the other interests may be;
- Often the loudest and strongest voices heard on privacy issues *very appealing to the media*.





Taking a Pragmatic Approach

- The brand of privacy I practice as a Privacy Commissioner is "practical privacy"— it has to work, on the ground;
- Try to take a balanced approach, resulting in a win-win scenario, whenever possible;
- Caution that ignoring privacy problems in the short-term, will only create bigger problems in the long-term.





One of Many Benefits of RFIDS: Health Care: Pharmaceuticals

- Tracking the pedigree of pharmaceutical products;
- Confidence relating to drug pedigree (re: statement of origin), is becoming increasingly important;
- Tracking and inventory of patient specimens (blood samples, test tubes, etc.);
- Tracking and inventory of pharmaceutical equipment.





IPC RFID DVD

- Spring 2006: my office released a short video clip discussing RFIDs and privacy;
- The IPC strongly supports the use of RFID technologies throughout the supply chain;
- Caution advised whenever linking item-level RFID tag data to individuals: *privacy concerns raised*;
- We support technological solutions that can help mitigate consumer privacy concerns and trust issues.





The Bottom Line

Privacy should be viewed as a business issue, not a compliance issue





Risks of Not Addressing Privacy Concerns

A lack of attention to privacy can result in a number of negative consequences:

- harm to customers whose personal information is used or disclosed inappropriately;
- damage to your organization's reputation and brand;
- financial losses associated with deterioration in the quality and integrity of personal information;
- financial losses due to a loss of business or delay in the implementation of a new product or service due to privacy concerns;
- loss of market share or a drop in stock prices following negative publicity about a "privacy hit;"
- violations of privacy laws.
- IPC Publication, *Privacy and Boards of Directors: What You Don't Know Can Hurt You.* www.ipc.on.ca/docs/director.pdf





Privacy and RFID Tags

- RFID tags contain information about a product, not an individual (e.g., EPC, size, colour, manufacture date, etc.);
- Fueled by privacy fundamentalists, many consumers perceive a threat to privacy from RFID tags;
 - Consumer Reports magazine, June, 2006 cover story:

"The End of Privacy?

Tiny devices attached to everything you buy could put you under extensive surveillance."





Common Misconceptions

- Messaging Advanced RFID tags will facilitate:
 - The merger and linking of product information and personal information, without consent;
 - The ability to track consumers who have purchased various products, expanding the creation of personal profiles;
 - The establishment of a widespread surveillance infrastructure.





Absence of Privacy

- A failure to build privacy into the design and implementation of RFIDs may produce a strong consumer backlash;
- This can have an adverse impact on a company's reputation, and ultimately, affect their bottom line;
- Case Examples:
 - Benetton
 - Metro AG
 - Gillette





CASPIAN Boycott

Consumers Against Supermarket Privacy Invasion and Numbering

• August, 2003: CASPIAN launched a worldwide boycott of Gillette products;

"RFID tags in Gillette product packaging have been used with hidden shelf cameras to snap photos of unsuspecting customers. Since Gillette has not responded adequately or truthfully to consumer concerns, we are advising consumers to avoid all Gillette products, including shaving items, Duracell batteries, Braun appliances, and Oral B products until further notice."



www.nocards.org/protest/index.shtml



Questionable Approach

 Do not acknowledge that privacy may be a potential problem; act like it doesn't exist;

"What consumers don't know, won't hurt them."





Progressive Approach

- Hiding the problem rarely works;
- Hiding the problem becomes the story;
- In the long run, trying to evade this issue is a poor business strategy;
- Be proactive and address the privacy issue head on.





Benefits and Concerns

- Get ahead of the story: immunize consumers against the extreme messaging advanced by privacy fundamentalists;
- Companies need to emphasize the benefits of RFIDs, while at the same time, also addressing consumers' privacy concerns;
- So, how to respond? ...





Follow Privacy Principles

- Give notice;
- Obtain consent;
- Be open and transparent;
- Allow user control;
- Respect use limitation.





IPC RFID Guidelines

- Widespread agreement that a fair information practices approach to RFID technology is the right approach;
- Widespread agreement that early standardization and guidance is preferable, before the technology has been widely adopted;
- IPC *RFID Guidelines* are based squarely on the "fair information practices" set out in the Canadian CSA Privacy Code, which has been adopted into privacy laws across the country, including the *Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act* (PIPEDA);
- Canadian privacy laws are globally recognized as being very strong. Adherence to the Guidelines ensures compliance around the world (EU Directive on Data Protection, Safe Harbor).





Canada's Federal Private Sector Privacy Law: *PIPEDA*

As of 2004, the federal *Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act* applies to:

- all personal information collected, used or disclosed in the course of commercial activities by provincially or federally regulated organizations;
- unless a <u>substantially similar</u> provincial privacy law is in force.





Canada's Fair Information Practices

- Accountability
- Identifying Purposes
- Consent
- Limiting Collection
- Limiting Use,
 Disclosure, Retention

- Accuracy
- Safeguards
- Openness
- Individual Access
- Challenging Compliance

CSA Model Code for the Protection of Personal Information (Privacy Code) CAN-CSA Q830 1996

www.csa.ca/standards/privacy/code/





Canada's Fair Information Practices

• CSA Model Privacy Code was incorporated into Canada's federal *Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act* (PIPEDA) – appended as a schedule.

www.privcom.gc.ca/legislation/02_06_01_01_e.asp

- Organizations that comply with the Privacy Code can be confident that they meet the federal requirements.
- In 2001, the European Commission recognized PIPEDA provides adequate protection for personal data transferred from the EU to Canada





Provincial Private-Sector Privacy Laws

Québec: Act respecting the protection of personal information in the private sector;

B.C.: Personal Information Protection Act;

Alberta: Personal Information Protection Act;

Ontario: Personal Health Information Protection Act.





Why We Collaborated with EPCglobal Canada

- To encourage the development of new technologies that allow for de-activation, preferably followed by re-activiation;
- Encourage the concept of privacy by design:
 - "Embed privacy protective measures into the actual design and infrastructure of any new technology, including RFIDs"





Features of IPC RFID Guidelines

- The *Guidelines* address key privacy issues regarding the use of item-level RFID technology in the retail/commercial sector;
- Goal: to promote RFID technology by addressing concerns about the potential threat to privacy and to build-in the necessary protections for the item-level use of RFID tags by retailers;

The Guidelines are based on three principles:

- 1. Focus on RFID information systems, not technologies;
- 2. Build in privacy and security from the outset, at the design stage;
- 3. Maximize individual participation and consent.





Why You Need to Be Prepared

- Checkpoint Systems Inc. announced in September, 2004, that it had developed new RFID solutions for tracking individual consumer items;
- CASPIAN claimed that:
 - Checkpoint was developing RFID "spychips" for three well-known clothing labels;
 - Consumers wearing the tagged clothing could potentially be identified and tracked by readers;
- CASPIAN warned: "[We] will be working with consumers on an aggressive response to this privacy threat. Roll up your sleeves and get ready for a good fight."





Conclusion

- Strong need to address the public's concerns about privacy, in a proactive manner;
- Strong need to balance privacy with other interests *Practical Privacy*;
- Ignoring privacy problems in the short-term, will only create bigger problems in the long-term;
- Build privacy into the design and implementation of RFID tags "Privacy by Design;"
- Immunize consumers against the extreme messaging advanced by some privacy fundamentalists;
- Emphasize the benefits of RFIDs while at the same time, also addressing consumers' privacy concerns;
- Follow universally accepted privacy principles;
- Be prepared for a "good fight."





How to Contact Us

Ann Cavoukian, Ph.D.

Information & Privacy Commissioner/Ontario

2 Bloor Street East, Suite 1400

Toronto, Ontario, Canada M4W 1A8

Phone: (416) 326-3333 / 1-800-387-0073

Web: www.ipc.on.ca

E-mail: info@ipc.on.ca

