SmartPrivacy for the Smart Grid

Ken Anderson Assistant Commissioner (Privacy) Ontario

Drumbo Heritage Society April 6, 2010

















The "Meaning" of Privacy



Information Privacy Defined

Information Privacy: Data Protection

- Freedom of choice; personal control; "Informational self-determination;"
- Control over the collection, use and disclosure of any recorded information about a personally identifiable individual.


It's all about user control ... freedom of choice



What Privacy is Not

Privacy ≠ Security

Security is, however, vital to privacy



"SmartPrivacy is the umbrella that offers the complete suite of protections to ensure data privacy. It consists of multiple measures ranging from regulatory protectons and privacy for the second sec





- I first developed the concept of *Privacy by Design* in the 90s, as a response to the growing threats to online privacy that were beginning to emerge;
- *Privacy by Design* seeks to build in privacy up front, right into the design specifications; into the architecture; embed privacy into the technology used *bake it in*;
- Data minimization is key: minimize the routine collection and use of personally identifiable information – use encrypted or coded information whenever possible;
- Use PETs *Plus* (positive-sum, not zero-sum) wherever possible: give people maximum control over their own data.



Privacy by Design: *The Trilogy of Applications*

Information Technology

Accountable Business Practices

Physical Design & Infrastructure



Privacy by Design: The 7 Foundational Principles

- 1. Proactive not Reactive; Preventative not Remedial
- 2. Privacy as the *Default*
- 3. Privacy Embedded into Design
- 4. *Full* Functionality: Positive-Sum, not Zero-Sum
- 5. End-to-End Lifecycle Protection
- 6. Visibility and Transparency
- 7. Respect for User Privacy



Privacy by Design

The 7 Foundational Principles

Ann Cavoukian, Ph.D. Information & Privacy Commissioner Ontario, Canada

Privacy by Design is a concept that I developed back in the 90's, to address the ever-growing and systemic effects of Information and Communication Technologies, and of large-scale networked data systems.

Privacy by Design asserts that the future of privacy cannot be assured solely by compliance with regulatory frameworks; rather, privacy assurance must ideally become an organization's default mode of operation.

Initially, deploying Privacy-Enhancing Technologies (PETs) was seen as the solution. Today, we understand that a more substantial approach is required – extending the use of PETs to taking a positive-sum, not a zero-sum, approach.

Privacy by Design now extends to a "Trilogy" of encompassing applications: 1) IT systems; 2) accountable business practices; and 3) physical design and infrastructure.

Principles of *Privacy by Design* may be applied to all types of personal information, but should be applied with special vigour to sensitive data such as medical information and financial data. The strength of privacy protection requirements tend to be commensurate with the sensitivity of the data.

The objectives of *Privacy by Dasign* – ensuring privacy and personal control over one's information and, for organizations, gaining a sustainable competitive advantage –may be accomplished by practicing the following principles:

1. Proactive not Reactive; Preventative not Remedial

The Privacy by Design (PbD) approach is characterized by proactive rather than reactive measures. It anticipates and prevents privacy invasive events before they happen. PbD does not wait for privacy riaks to materialize, nor does it offer remedies for resolving privacy infractions once they have occurred - it aims to prevent them from occurring. In short, Privacy by Design comes before-the-fact, not after.

www.ipc.on.ca/images/Resources/7foundationalprinciples.pdf



Why We Need Privacy by Design

- Most privacy breaches remain undetected as regulators, we only see the tip of the iceberg;
- The majority of privacy breaches remain unchallenged, unregulated ... unknown;
- Compliance alone, is unsustainable as the sole model for ensuring the future of privacy; for that, we must turn to proactive measures such as *Privacy by Design:* embedding privacy *proactively* into the core of all that we do.





SmartPrivacy for the Smart Grid





IPC Outreach Regarding Smart Grid


- Ontario Ministry of Energy and Infrastructure;
- Ontario Energy Board;
- Joint meeting in Washington D.C. with Gridwise Alliance and Future of Privacy Forum;
- U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology;
- Hydro One Toronto Hydro Ontario Power Generation;
- Extensive media outreach including The Economist, CBC, Toronto Star and an Op-Ed in the Globe and Mail.



SmartPrivacy for the Smart Grid: A Case in Point

"The smart grid is certainly a good idea, which I strongly support. But the focus has been so singularly on controlling energy use that I think the privacy issue is a sleeper – it's not top-of-mind."

— Commissioner Cavoukian, Toronto Star, *Smart grid saves power, but can it thwart hackers?*, August 3, 2009




www.privacybydesign.ca



Commissioner Cavoukian's Op-Ed, with Jules Polonetsky, Future of Privacy Forum:

Toronto Star Tuesday, November 17, 2009

"Privacy is the smart grid's sleeper issue. Whenever technology is utilized that targets individual consumers, there is invariably a dramatic increase in the amount of personally identifiable information that is collected and stored, leading to very real concerns regarding privacy ... the time for action is now, before the smart grid becomes a fully established part of our infrastructure. We cannot allow privacy to become the Achilles heel of this new method of energy management." - p.A27



Your smart meter is watching

Technology's ability to reveal intimate details makes useful conservation tool a threat to privacy

OF ONTARIO

North America's electrical grid is one of the greatest technological achievements of the 20th century. However, at the time of its design, the main goal was to make sure the ights staved on, with no serious thought to energy efficiency, enviconmental conservation, alterna tive energy sources, consumer-tailored choices, or cyber security. But times have changed, and today the grid offers a virtual window into vour home - providing granular levels of information such as when you cook or shower, and for how The information and communica-

tions technology revolution has changed our society in profound ways and these new technologies are being used to make the current While this technology is clearly beneficial in terms of valuable efforts to curb greenhouse gas emissions and reduce consumers energybils, it will also give rise to a new challenge — privacy protection. Privacy is the smart grid's sleeper issue. Whenever technology is utilized that targets individual consumers, there is invariably a dramatic increase in the amount of personally identifiable information that is collected and stored, leading to very real concerns regarding privacy. This is why we need to bake

privacy into the smart grid at the mane design stage – known as 'privacy meed by design' – a concept developed disses to ensure the protection of privacy by making privacy the default in the design of new technologies and business practices.

We must take great care not to sacrifice consumer privacy amid an atmosphere of unbridled enthusiasm for electricity reform. But we need tric



A snart meter could reveal whether a hone alarm system was engaged.


value of monitoring electrical usage dataon the grid – giving consumers nore control over their electricity uses and giving electricity providen the ability to manage demant requirements – what we need bembrace is the idea that the dissenination of personal information must be done in a privacy protectivisand transparent manner. That is why – along with co-au-

nd That's why – along with co-author Clristopher Wolf – we are releasing white paper today, Smartatprivacyfor the Smart Grid: Embeding Privacy in the Design of Electricity Conservation, which not only pace on morimation that can identify the individual. Further, thirt party service providers should erter into contractual agreements ne to correlate consumer data with data obtained from other source without the consent of the consum er. These are only a few of the step that may be taken to ensure privac protection on the smart grid. The time for action is now, befor

the smart grid becomes a fully e tablished part of our infrastructur We cannot allow privacy to becon the Achilles heel of this new met od of energy management. The i formation collected on the sma grid will form a large and compl library of personal information, th mishandling of which could highly invasive of personal priva-There will be major concerns consumer-focused principles transparency and control are n treated as essential design prinples. Both public and private secto organizations responsible for th processing of customers' personinformation on the smart grid mus ensure that privacy is embedded in

www.thestar.com/comment/article/726528







Smart Grid: *Privacy Risks*

- Modernization of the current electrical grid will involve end-user components and activities that will lead to increasing the collection, use and disclosure of personal information by utility providers, as well as third-parties;
- In the context of the Smart Grid, the linkage of any personally identifiable information with energy use would render the linked data as personal information;
- The information collected on a smart grid can form a library of personal information, the mishandling of which can lead to invasions of consumer privacy;
- An electricity usage profile can translate into a source of detailed behavioural information;
- Major concerns will arise if consumer-focused principles of transparency and control are not treated as essential design principles, from end to end, throughout the entire data lifecycle.



Smart Grid: *Our Position*

- While the smart grid is a positive undertaking, the focus has almost exclusively been on controlling energy use, thereby making privacy a sleeper issue;
- We must ensure that consumer privacy is not sacrificed amidst a sea of unbridled enthusiasm for electricity reform we must insist upon a positive-sum, *not* a zero-sum approach;
- Principles of *Privacy by Design* must form part of the overall design for Smart Grid data flows.

Conclusions



- Lead with *Privacy by Design* embed privacy into the design specifications of information technologies, accountable business practices and operations;
- Take it a step further change the paradigm from "zero-sum" to "positive-sum," where both privacy *and* security, as well as privacy *and* energy conservation, can be delivered, thereby raising *overall* levels of protection;
- When you change the paradigm, you then change the mindset: you can deliver *both* privacy AND security, not as a mutually exclusive "either/or" (essentially a false dichotomy) but as the doubly enabling "win-win;"
- The future of privacy may very well depend on embedding privacy into Design let's make it a reality!



How to Contact Us

Ken Anderson – Assistant Commissioner (Privacy) IPC Ontario 2 Bloor Street East, Suite 1400 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M4W 1A8

- Phone: (416) 326-3333 / 1-800-387-0073
- Web: www.ipc.on.ca
- E-mail: info@ipc.on.ca